

		F filed 0/11/07			
1 2 3 4 5 6	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMP JAMES M. CHADWICK Cal. Bar No. 1571 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4106 Tel: 415.434.9100 Fax: 415.434.3947 jchadwick@sheppardmullin.com Attorneys for Plaintiff, HORTA, LLC RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (#88625)	14			
8 9 10 11	NORA FRIMANN, Chief Trial Attorney (#93249) JOSEPH P. DiCIUCCIO, Sr. Deputy City Attorney (#56885) Office of the City Attorney 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, California 95113-1905 Telephone: (408) 535-1900 Facsimile: (408) 998-3131 Email: cao.main@sanjoseca.gov				
12	Attorneys for Defendant, CITY OF SAN JOS	SE			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14 15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
17	HORTA, LLC,	CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS)			
18	Plaintiff,	[Related Case Nos. C 00-20018 JF and C 05-03778 JF]			
19 20	v.	STIPULATION FOR MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION			
21	CITY OF SAN JOSE,				
22 23	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel Complaint: August 23, 2002			
24		I			
25					
26					
27					
28					
		STIDLIL A TION AND ODDED			

STIPULATION AND ORDER CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS)

STIPULATION 1 WHEREAS, on February 28, 2005, the Court entered judgment and an injunction in this 2 action brought by Horta against the City of San Jose; 3 WHEREAS, on March 14, 2005, plaintiff Horta, LLC ("Horta") filed a Motion for 4 Attorneys' Fees, seeking to recover attorneys' fees incurred in this action and a Motion to 5 Reconsider, Reopen, and Amend Judgment; and 6 WHEREAS, Horta and the City of San Jose have reached an agreement resolving the 7 remaining issues in the litigation, with the exception of Horta's claim for attorneys' fees; 8 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this action, 9 that: 10 The judgment previously entered in this action should be modified, and a modified 11 (1) judgment in the form of Attachment A to this stipulation should be entered; 12 The injunction previously entered in this action should be modified, and a 13 (2) modified injunction in the form of Attachment B to this stipulation should be entered; 14 Following entry of the modified judgment and injunction, a dismissal in the form 15 (3) of Attachment C to this stipulation should be entered; 16 Horta shall have 60 days from the date of entry of the modified judgment and 17 (4) injunction in which to file any amended motion for attorneys' fees and costs, or any amendment 18 19 to the pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees. Dated: <u>Sept. 12</u>, 2007 20 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP 21 22 JAMES CHAØWIĆK Attorneys for Plaintiff HORTA, LLC 23 24 Dated: 2007 RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney 25 26 By NORA FRIMAN 27 JÔSÉPH P. DICIUCCIO Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF SAN JOSE 28

1	<u>ORDER</u>		
2	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS SO		
3	ORDERED:		
4	(1) The judgment previously entered in this action shall be modified, and a modified		
5	judgment in the form of Attachment A to this stipulation shall be entered;		
6	(2) The injunction previously entered in this action shall be modified, and a modified		
7	injunction in the form of Attachment B to this stipulation shall be entered;		
8	(3) Following entry of the modified judgment and injunction, a dismissal in the form		
9	of Attachment C to this stipulation shall be entered; and		
10	(4) Horta shall have 60 days from the date of entry of the modified judgment and		
11	injunction in which to file any amended motion for attorneys' fees and costs, or any amendment		
12	to the pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees.		
13	Dated:9/14/		
14	HON. JERENIY FOGEL		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

	Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF	Document 295	Filed 09/14/07	Page 4 of 14	
1					
2					
3		ATTACI	HMENT A		
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	W02-WEST:5JMC1\400327225.3	-4-		STIPULAT	ION AND ORDER

Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF Document 295 Filed 09/14/07 Page 5 of 14

The parties having now entered into an Agreement Settling Pending Litigation ("Agreement") and a stipulation, the Court being familiar with the record in this matter and in the related cases, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. Horta was entitled to operate its Boeing 727-200 aircraft ("the 727") at the Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airport ("the Airport") during the hours of 11:30 p.m. to 6:30 a.m. ("the Curfew Hours") under Section X(B)(2) of the original San Jose Airport Noise Control Program, which was in effect from February 1984 until October 21, 2003 ("the original NCP").
- 2. In light of its interpretation and application of Section X(B)(2) at the time of its decision, the City abused its discretion in determining that Horta's proposed Curfew-Hour operations of the 727 at the Airport did not qualify as general aviation operations under Section X(B)(2) of the old Noise Control Program and in denying authorization for such operations.
- 3. Horta, or its affiliates Fry's Electronics, Inc. ("Fry's), or the San Jose SaberCats, L.P. ("Sabercats"), each of Horta, Fry's, and the SaberCats being an "Authorized Operator," are permitted to operate the Aircraft at the Airport during Curfew Hours pursuant to the request by Horta for authorization to conduct curfew hour operations under Section X(B)(2) of the Original NCP ("Curfew Flights"), subject to the following conditions:
 - a. There shall be no more than fifteen (15) flights (*i.e.*, a landing and a takeoff) of the Aircraft during Curfew Hours in each calendar year;
 - b. The only purpose for which Curfew Flights shall be conducted is for transporting the SaberCats football team (which may be accompanied by owners, officers, employees and guests of the SaberCats or Fry's), together with the personal baggage and equipment of the passengers;
 - c. Each Curfew Flight shall be operated using approved quiet flying procedures, as set forth in the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual for the Aircraft;
 - d. Within five days of each Curfew Flight, the Authorized Operator shall complete, sign and deliver to the Office of the Director of Aviation at SJC a Curfew

Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF Document 295 Filed 09/14/07 Page 7 of 14

	Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF	Document 295	Filed 09/14/07	Page 8 of 14
1				
2				
3		ATTACI	HMENT B	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14 15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	W02-WEST:5JMC1\400327225.3	-8-		STIPULATION AND ORDER

1	Noise Control Program ("the 727") at the Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airport			
2	("Airport") during the hours of 11:30 p.m. to 6:30 a.m. ("Curfew Hours") subject to the following			
3	conditions:			
4	a.	There shall be no more than fifteen (15) flights (i.e., a landing and a takeoff) of the		
5		Aircraft during Curfew Hours in	n each calendar year;	
6	b.	The only purpose for which Cur	rfew Flights shall be conducted is for transporting	
7		the SaberCats football team (wh	nich may be accompanied by owners, officers,	
8	employees and guests of the SaberCats or Fry's), together with the personal			
9		baggage and equipment of the p	passengers;	
10	c.	Each Curfew Flight shall be ope	erated using approved quiet flying procedures, as	
11		set forth in the FAA-approved	Airplane Flight Manual for the Aircraft;	
12	d. Within five days of each Curfew Flight, the Authorized Operator shall complete,			
13		sign and deliver to the Office of	f the Director of Aviation at SJC a Curfew	
14		Operation Form ("Form"). The	Form will be delivered by facsimile to the Airport	
15		Noise Office.		
16	2.	Taking any action, including bu	at not limited to the commencement or prosecution	
17	of administrative proceedings, to fine, penalize, or otherwise sanction operations of the 727			
18	performed in compliance with the conditions imposed by this Order.			
19	This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce this Permanent Injunction			
20	Order.			
21	IT IS	SO ORDERED.		
22	Dated:	, 2007		
23			HON. JEREMY FOGEL	
24			UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
25				
26				
27				
28				
		10	CTIDLII ATION AND ODDED	

	Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF	Document 295	Filed 09/14/07	Page 11 of 14
1				
2				
3		ATTAC	HMENT C	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15 16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	W02-WEST:5JMC1\400327225.3	-11-		STIPULATION AND ORDER

	Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF Document 29	5 Filed 09/14/07 Page 12 of 14	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	NOT F	OR CITATION	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	HORTA, LLC,	CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS)	
12		[Related Case Nos. C 00-20018 JF	
13	Plaintiff,	and C 05-03778 JF]	
14	V.	STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
15	CITY OF SAN JOSE,		
16	ŕ		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
20	WHEREAS, Horta brought this action challenging the legality of certain aspects of the		
21	San Jose Airport Noise Control Program enacted in 1984 (Original NCP), and the revised Noise		
22	Control Program enacted in 2003 through the adoption of San Jose Ordinances 27000 and 27001		
23	(the "Revised NCP");		
24	WHEREAS, on February 28, 2005, the Court entered judgment and an injunction in this		
25	action brought by Horta against the City of San Jose;		
26	WHEREAS, on March 14, 2005, plaintiff Horta, LLC ("Horta") filed a Motion for		
27 28	Attorneys' Fees, seeking to recover attorneys' fees incurred in this action and a Motion to		
28	Reconsider, Reopen, and Amend Judgment;		
	W02-WEST:5JMC1\400327225.3 -12	STIPULATION AND ORDER CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS)	

WHEREAS, Horta and the City of San Jose have reached an agreement resolving the remaining issues in the litigation, with the exception of Horta's claim for attorneys' fees;

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this action, that:

- 1. All remaining claims relating to the legality of the Original NCP and the Revised NCP, with the exception of claims for attorneys' fees and costs based on the prior partial grant of Horta's motion for summary judgment and issuance of the Judgment and Injunction, should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- 2. The dismissal does not and shall not be construed to have any effect on Horta's pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees, or on any claim for attorneys' fees or costs incurred since the filing of that motion, which claims are expressly reserved;
- 3. Horta shall have 60 days from the date of entry of the modified judgment and injunction pursuant to this Agreement in which to file any amended motion for attorneys' fees and costs, or any amendment to the pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees; and
 - 4. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees.

ORDER

The parties having now entered into an Agreement Settling Pending Litigation ("Agreement") and a stipulation, the Court being familiar with the record in this matter and in the related cases, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS ORDERED as follows:

- 1. All remaining claims relating to the legality of the Original NCP and the Revised NCP, with the exception of claims for attorneys' fees and costs based on the prior partial grant of Horta's motion for summary judgment and issuance of the Judgment and Injunction, shall be and hereby are dismissed with prejudice;
- 2. The dismissal does not and shall not be construed to have any effect on Horta's pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees, or on any claim for attorneys' fees or costs incurred since the filing of that motion, which claims are expressly reserved;

Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF Document 295 Filed 09/14/07 Page 14 of 14 Horta shall have 60 days from the date of entry of the modified judgment and 3. injunction pursuant to this Agreement in which to file any amended motion for attorneys' fees and costs, or any amendment to the pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees; and This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the pending Motion for Attorneys' Fees. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: ______, 2007 HON. JEREMY FOGEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE